

NORMAL GENERATION AND CLIFFORD INDEX

YOUNGOOK CHOI¹, SEONJA KIM², AND YOUNG ROCK KIM³

ABSTRACT. Let C be a smooth curve of genus $g \geq 4$ and Clifford index c . In this paper, we prove that if C is neither hyperelliptic nor bielliptic with $g \geq 2c + 5$ and \mathcal{M} computes the Clifford index of C , then either $\deg \mathcal{M} \leq \frac{3c}{2} + 3$ or $|\mathcal{M}| = |g_{c+2}^1 + h_{c+2}^1|$ and $g = 2c + 5$. This strengthens the Coppens and Martens' theorem ([CM91], Corollary 3.2.5). Furthermore, for the latter case (1) \mathcal{M} is half-canonical unless C is a $\frac{c+2}{2}$ -fold covering of an elliptic curve, (2) $\mathcal{M}(F)$ fails to be normally generated with $\text{Cliff}(\mathcal{M}(F)) = c$, $h^1(\mathcal{M}(F)) = 2$ for $F \in g_{c+2}^1$. Such pairs (C, \mathcal{M}) can be found on a $K3$ -surface whose Picard group is generated by a hyperplane section in \mathbb{P}^r . For such a (C, \mathcal{M}) on a $K3$ -surface, \mathcal{M} is normally generated while $\mathcal{M}(F)$ fails to be normally generated with $\text{Cliff}(\mathcal{M}) = \text{Cliff}(\mathcal{M}(F)) = c$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let C be an irreducible projective curve over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. A smooth curve C in \mathbb{P}^r is said to be projectively normal if the natural morphisms $H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m)) \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(m))$ are surjective for every nonnegative integer m . A line bundle \mathcal{L} on a smooth curve C is said to be normally generated if \mathcal{L} is very ample and C has a projectively normal embedding via its associated morphism $\phi_{\mathcal{L}} : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{L}))$.

Green and Lazarsfeld gave a sufficient condition for a line bundle to be normally generated as follows ([GL86], Theorem 1): If \mathcal{L} is a very

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14H45, 14H10, 14C20.

Key words and phrases. algebraic curve, linear system, line bundle, Clifford index, normal generation, extremal line bundle.

This work was supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant funded by Korea Government (MOEHRD, Basic Research Promotion Fund)(KRF-2005-070-C00005).

ample line bundle on C with $\deg \mathcal{L} \geq 2g+1-2h^1(\mathcal{L})-\text{Cliff}(C)$, then \mathcal{L} is normally generated. Note that the condition $\deg \mathcal{L} \geq 2g+1-2h^1(\mathcal{L})-\text{Cliff}(C)$ is equivalent to $\text{Cliff}(\mathcal{L}) < \text{Cliff}(C)$, whence $h^1(\mathcal{L}) \leq 1$. A very ample line bundle \mathcal{L} on a smooth curve C is said to be *extremal* if $\text{Cliff}(\mathcal{L}) = \text{Cliff}(C)$ and \mathcal{L} fails to be normally generated.

In this paper, we show that there are extremal line bundles \mathcal{L} on smooth curves with $h^1(\mathcal{L}) = 2$. The existence of an extremal line bundle \mathcal{L} with $h^1(\mathcal{L}) \leq 1$ can be found in [GL86], [Ko02];

Theorem 1.1 (Green-Lazarsfeld, [GL86]). *Let C be a smooth curve of genus g and Clifford index c . If $g > \max\{\binom{c+3}{2}, 10c + 6\}$, then:*

- (a) *C always carries an extremal line bundle \mathcal{L} with $h^1(\mathcal{L}) = 0$, but never one with $h^1(\mathcal{L}) \geq 2$;*
- (b) *C carries an extremal line bundle \mathcal{L} with $h^1(\mathcal{L}) = 1$ if and only if $c = 2f \geq 4$ is even, and C is a two-sheeted branched covering $\pi : C \rightarrow C' \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ of a smooth plane curve C' of degree $f + 2$.*

Thus all the extremal line bundle \mathcal{L} with $h^1(\mathcal{L}) \geq 2$ could be found in case $\text{Cliff}(C)$ is not so small compared to the genus g . By the way it is well known that $\text{Cliff}(C) \leq [(g-1)/2]$ for any smooth curve and $\text{Cliff}(C) = [(g-1)/2]$ if C is a general curve [Me60]. To find an extremal line bundle \mathcal{L} with $h^1(\mathcal{L}) \geq 2$, we investigate the property of a line bundle computing the Clifford index of C and the normal generation of a line bundle on C . We prove the following theorem which supplies a tool constructing various non-normally generated line bundles on a curve.

Theorem 1.2. *Let \mathcal{M} be a birationally very ample line bundle on a smooth curve C , \mathcal{F} be globally generated with $h^0(\mathcal{F}) = 2$ and $F \in |\mathcal{F}|$. Assume that*

- (1) $h^1(\mathcal{M}^2(F)) = 0$;
- (2) $h^1(\mathcal{M}^2) + 1 \leq h^1(\mathcal{M}^2(-F))$;
- (3) $H^0(\mathcal{M}) \otimes H^0(F) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{M}(F))$ is surjective.

Then $\mathcal{L}(:= \mathcal{M}(F))$ fails to be normally generated, especially the 2-normality of $\phi_{\mathcal{L}}(C)$ does not hold.

Note that hypotheses except (3) in the above theorem could be easily checked and possibly hold. For example, if \mathcal{M}^2 is special then hypothesis (2) naturally holds by $h^0(\mathcal{F}) = 2$, $h^1(\mathcal{M}^2(F)) = 0$ and the base point free pencil trick. For condition (3) we give a geometric criterion. The line bundle \mathcal{L} becomes extremal if \mathcal{L} computes the Clifford index of C . Generally it is hard to determine whether \mathcal{L} computes the Clifford index of C or not.

The following theorem makes it possible to find out line bundles \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{F} satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 such that $\mathcal{M}(F)$ is an extremal line bundle with $h^1(\mathcal{M}(F)) = 2$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let C be a smooth curve of genus g and Clifford index c with $g \geq 2c + 5$ which is neither hyperelliptic nor bielliptic. If a line bundle \mathcal{M} computes the Clifford index of C with $(3c/2) + 3 < \deg \mathcal{M} \leq g - 1$, then $g = 2c + 5$ and $|\mathcal{M}| = |F + F'|$ such that $|F|$ and $|F'|$ are pencils of degree $c + 2$. Moreover, $\mathcal{M}(F)$ computes the Clifford index of C .*

We also show that the line bundle \mathcal{M} is half canonical unless C is a $\frac{c+2}{2}$ -fold covering of an elliptic curve in Proposition 2.5. In fact, such a pair (C, \mathcal{M}) can be found on a $K3$ -surface whose Picard group is generated by a hyperplane section in \mathbb{P}^r .

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be a general $K3$ surface in \mathbb{P}^r ($r \geq 3$) whose Picard group is generated by a hyperplane section H with $\deg X = 2r - 2$. Let C be a smooth irreducible curve on X contained in the linear system $|2H|$. Then*

- (1) *$g = 2c + 5$ and there are pencils $|F|$, $|F'|$ of degree $c + 2$ such that $|\mathcal{O}_C(1)| = |F + F'|$,*
- (2) *both $\mathcal{O}_C(1)$ and $\mathcal{O}_C(1)(F)$ compute the Clifford index of C ,*
- (3) *$\mathcal{O}_C(1)$ is normally generated, but $\mathcal{O}_C(1)(F)$ is not.*

Consequently, for any r one can find couples (C, \mathcal{L}) such that \mathcal{L} is an extremal line bundle on C with $h^1(\mathcal{L}) = 2$ and $h^0(\mathcal{L}) = r + 1$. For a curve C of genus $g \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, C has both a normally generated line bundle \mathcal{M} and a non-normally generated line bundle \mathcal{L} with $h^1(\mathcal{L}) = 2$ computing the Clifford index of C at the same time.

Recall the following: The Clifford index of the curve C is defined by

$$\text{Cliff}(C) := \min\{\text{Cliff}(\mathcal{L}) : h^0(\mathcal{L}) \geq 2, h^1(\mathcal{L}) \geq 2\}.$$

A line bundle \mathcal{L} is said to compute the Clifford index of C if $\text{Cliff}(\mathcal{L}) = \text{Cliff}(C)$ with $h^0(\mathcal{L}) \geq 2$ and $h^1(\mathcal{L}) \geq 2$. The Clifford dimension of C is defined by

$$r(C) := \min\{h^0(\mathcal{L}) - 1 : \mathcal{L} \text{ computes the Clifford index of } C\}.$$

It has known that a general k -gonal curve has Clifford dimension 1 ([Ba86], [KeK89]). A smooth curve C is called an *exceptional* curve if $r(C) \geq 2$.

Notations

We denote the canonical line bundle on C by K , $H^i(C, \mathcal{L})$ by $H^i(\mathcal{L})$ and $H^i(C, \mathcal{O}(D))$ by $H^i(D)$. We abuse the notations as follows: $L \in |\mathcal{L}|$, $|\mathcal{O}(D)| = |D|$. For a divisor D on C , we denote $\langle D \rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$ the linear space spanned by D in the embedding associated to a very ample line bundle \mathcal{L} .

2. LINE BUNDLES COMPUTING THE CLIFFORD INDEX

In this section, we have the following results: Let C be a curve of genus g and Clifford index c which is neither hyperelliptic nor bielliptic, and a line bundle \mathcal{M} compute the Clifford index of C with $\deg \mathcal{M} \leq g - 1$, $h^0(\mathcal{M}) \geq 4$. Then there is a quadric hypersurface of rank ≤ 4 containing $\varphi_{\mathcal{M}}(C)$ if and only if $|\mathcal{M}| = |g_{c+2}^1 + h_{c+2}^1|$ and $g = 2c + 5$. Thus if $g \geq 2c + 5$ and $\deg \mathcal{M} > \frac{3c}{2} + 3$, then $|\mathcal{M}| = |g_{c+2}^1 + h_{c+2}^1|$ and $g = 2c + 5$. Moreover in this case, \mathcal{M} is half-canonical unless C is a $\frac{c+2}{2}$ -fold covering of an elliptic curve.

This is an extension of Corollary 3.2.5 in [CM91]. They showed that $\deg \mathcal{M} \leq \frac{3c}{2} + 3$ for a line bundle \mathcal{M} computing the Clifford index of C if $g > 2c + 4$ (resp. $g > 2c + 5$) and c is odd (resp. even). In fact, the above is an extended result for the case $g = 2c + 5$ and c is even. This is also comparable to the following: Let C be an exceptional curve of genus g and Clifford index c and a line bundle \mathcal{M} compute the Clifford dimension of C . Then $\varphi_{\mathcal{M}}(C)$ is not contained any quadric

hypersurface of rank 4 or less if $r(C) \geq 3$. If $\deg \mathcal{M} > (3c/2) + 3$, then $g = 2c + 4$ and \mathcal{M} is half-canonical. Consult [ELMS89] for details .

Proposition 2.1 ([KKM90]). *Let C be a smooth curve of genus g and a line bundle \mathcal{M} compute the Clifford index of C with $\deg \mathcal{M} \leq g - 1$ and $h^0(\mathcal{M}) \geq 4$. Then \mathcal{M} is birationally very ample unless C is hyperelliptic or bielliptic.*

We note that the proposition also holds for such a linear system of any degree, since the condition $d \leq g - 1$ is not used in the proof of the proposition.

Lemma 2.2. *Let C be a smooth curve of genus g and a line bundle \mathcal{M} compute the Clifford index of C with $\deg \mathcal{M} \leq g - 1$. If C has a base point free linear system $|F|$ with $h^0(F) \geq 2$ and $h^0(\mathcal{M}(-F)) \geq 2$, then the linear system $|F|$, $|\mathcal{M}(-F)|$ and $|\mathcal{M}(F)|$ compute the Clifford index of C .*

Proof. We set $\deg F = f$. Since \mathcal{M} computes the Clifford index of C , we have $\text{Cliff}(\mathcal{M}(-F)) \geq \text{Cliff}(\mathcal{M})$ and so $h^0(\mathcal{M}) \geq h^0(\mathcal{M}(-F)) + \frac{f}{2} \geq \frac{f}{2} + 2$ for $h^0(\mathcal{M}(-F)) \geq 2$. Assume $h^1(\mathcal{M}(F)) \leq 1$. Then by Riemann-Roch Theorem, $h^0(\mathcal{M}(F)) \leq f + 1$ for $\deg \mathcal{M} \leq g - 1$. Thus $h^0(\mathcal{M}(F)) - h^0(\mathcal{M}) \leq (f + 1) - (\frac{f}{2} + 2) \leq \frac{f}{2} - 1$. Then by the base point free pencil trick([ACGH85], p 126), we get $\frac{f}{2} - 1 \geq h^0(\mathcal{M}(F)) - h^0(\mathcal{M}) \geq h^0(\mathcal{M}) - h^0(\mathcal{M}(-F)) \geq \frac{f}{2}$, which is a contradiction. Thus $h^1(\mathcal{M}(F)) \geq 2$ and so $\text{Cliff}(\mathcal{M}(F)) \geq \text{Cliff}(\mathcal{M})$ which gives $h^0(\mathcal{M}(F)) - h^0(\mathcal{M}) \leq \frac{f}{2}$. Hence by the base point free pencil trick, we have $\frac{f}{2} \geq h^0(\mathcal{M}(F)) - h^0(\mathcal{M}) \geq h^0(\mathcal{M}) - h^0(\mathcal{M}(-F)) \geq \frac{f}{2}$. Consequently, $\text{Cliff}(\mathcal{M}(F)) = \text{Cliff}(\mathcal{M}(-F)) = \text{Cliff}(\mathcal{M}) = \text{Cliff}(C)$. Moreover all of them compute the Clifford index of C , since $h^1(\mathcal{M}(F)) \geq 2$ and $\deg(\mathcal{M}(-F)) \leq g - 1$. Set $|\mathcal{M}(-F)| = |F'|$. Then $|F'|$ is base point free, since it computes the Clifford index of C . Hence by the same argument for $|F'|$ instead of $|F|$, the linear system $|F| = |\mathcal{M}(-F')|$ also computes the Clifford index of C . \square

For divisors M and E on C , let (M, E) denote the greatest common divisor of them.

Lemma 2.3. *Let a line bundle \mathcal{M} compute the Clifford index of C with $\deg \mathcal{M} \leq g-1$, $h^0(\mathcal{M}) \geq 3$ and \mathcal{E} be a line bundle with $h^0(\mathcal{E}) \geq 2$. Then for any $P \in C$ there are divisors $M \in |\mathcal{M}|$ and $E \in |\mathcal{E}|$ such that $(M, E) = P$ or $P + Q$ for some $Q \in C$.*

Proof. Let P be an arbitrary point of C and E a divisor in $|\mathcal{E}|$ containing P . Set $E = P + \sum P_i$. Let B be the base locus of $|\mathcal{M}(-P)|$. Then B is either zero divisor or degree one divisor Q for some $Q \in C$, since \mathcal{M} computes the Clifford index of C with $h^0(\mathcal{M}) \geq 3$. We set $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{M}(-P - B)$. Then \mathcal{G} is base point free of $h^0(\mathcal{G}) \geq 2$. Hence there is a $G \in |\mathcal{G}|$ such that $(G, \sum P_i) = \text{zero divisor}$. Thus $(M, E) = P$ or $P + Q$ for $M = G + P + B \in |\mathcal{M}|$. \square

Using the above results, we get the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. *Let C be a smooth curve of genus g and Clifford index c which is neither hyperelliptic nor bielliptic and let \mathcal{M} be a line bundle computing the Clifford index of C with $\deg \mathcal{M} \leq g-1$ and $h^0(\mathcal{M}) \geq 4$. Then there is a quadric hypersurface of rank ≤ 4 containing $\varphi_{\mathcal{M}}(C)$ if and only if $|\mathcal{M}| = |F + F'|$ and $g = 2c+5$, where $|F|$ and $|F'|$ are pencils of degree $c+2$. In this case, $\mathcal{M}(F)$ computes the Clifford index of C .*

Proof. By the assumption and Proposition 2.1, the morphism $\varphi_{\mathcal{M}}$ is birational. Fix a quadric hypersurface Q of rank ≤ 4 containing $\varphi_{\mathcal{M}}(C)$. Let $|F_1|$ and $|F_2|$ be the complete linear systems induced by two pencils on Q . Then $|\mathcal{M}| = |F_1 + F_2|$. Let $|F|$ be a base point free pencil which is a subsystem of $|F_1|$. Then $h^0(\mathcal{M}(-F)) \geq h^0(F_2) \geq 2$. By Lemma 2.2, $|F| = g_{c+2}^1$, both $|\mathcal{M}(-F)|$ and $|\mathcal{M}(F)|$ also compute the Clifford index of C . In particular, we have $h^0(K \otimes \mathcal{M}(F)^{-1}) \geq 2$.

Claim: $|\mathcal{M}(-F)| = g_{c+2}^1$

To prove this, we assume $h^0(\mathcal{M}(-F)) \geq 3$. Let P be an arbitrary point of C . By Lemma 2.3, there are divisors $G_1 \in |\mathcal{M}(-F)|$ and $G_2 \in |K \otimes \mathcal{M}(F)^{-1}|$ such that $(G_1, G_2) = P$ or $P + R$ for some $R \in C$. Thus if we set $M = G_1 + F$ and $E = G_2 + F$, then $M \in |\mathcal{M}|$ and $E \in |K \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-1}|$ with $(M, E) = F + P$ or $F + P + R$. First we assume

$(M, E) = F + P$. Then by the sheaf exact sequence (see [Ha77], p 345)

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}((M, E)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(M) \oplus \mathcal{O}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(K(-(M, E))) \rightarrow 0,$$

we have $\text{Cliff}(F + P) \leq \text{Cliff}(M)$. It is impossible because $\text{Cliff}(M) = \text{Cliff}(F) = c$.

As a consequence, $(M, E) = F + P + R$ for some $R \in C$. Using the above sheaf exact sequence, $\text{Cliff}(F + P + R) = \text{Cliff}(M) = \text{Cliff}(F)$, and hence $h^0(K(-F - P - R)) = h^0(K(-F)) - 1$. On the other hand, we have $h^0(K(-F)) \geq h^0(\mathcal{M}) \geq 4$. Thus by Proposition 2.1, $|K(-F)|$ is birationally very ample since $\text{Cliff}(K(-F)) = c$. Thus $h^0(K(-F - P - R)) = h^0(K(-F)) - 2$ except only finite pairs (P, R) . It contradicts to the arbitrary choice of the point P . Thus $h^0(\mathcal{M}(-F)) = 2$ and so $|\mathcal{M}(-F)| = g_{c+2}^1$.

If we exchange the roles of $|\mathcal{M}(-F)|$ and $|K \otimes \mathcal{M}(F)^{-1}|$ in the claim, then we have $h^0(K \otimes \mathcal{M}(F)^{-1}) = 2$ and so $|K \otimes \mathcal{M}(F)^{-1}| = g_{c+2}^1$ since $K \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-1}$ also computes the Clifford index of C . Thus both $|\mathcal{M}|$ and $|K \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-1}|$ are sums of two linear pencils of degree $c + 2$, which proves the result. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The condition $(3c/2) + 3 < \deg \mathcal{M}$ yields that $h^0(\mathcal{M}) \geq 3$. If $h^0(\mathcal{M}) = 3$, then we have $c = 1$ since \mathcal{M} computes the Clifford index of C and $(3c/2) + 3 < \deg \mathcal{M} = c + 4$. Thus C is a plane quintic, which cannot occur since $g \geq 2c + 5$. Accordingly, $h^0(\mathcal{M}) \geq 4$.

Denote $h^0(\mathcal{M}) = r + 1$. Suppose that the result does not hold, then by Proposition 2.4, the image curve $C' = \varphi_{\mathcal{M}}(C)$ is not contained any quadric hypersurface of rank ≤ 4 . Then by the sheaf exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{C'}(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C'}(2) \rightarrow 0,$$

we have $h^0(\mathcal{M}^2) \geq 4r - 2$. Hence $\text{Cliff}(\mathcal{M}^2) \leq 2c - 4r + 6$ and $h^1(\mathcal{M}^2) \geq 2$ since $\deg \mathcal{M} = c + 2r$ and $g \geq 2c + 5$. Accordingly, $\text{Cliff}(\mathcal{M}^2) \geq c$ and so $4r \leq c + 6$. Thus we have $\deg \mathcal{M} = c + 2r \leq c + \frac{c}{2} + 3$ which is a contradiction. \square

We can show that such a line bundle \mathcal{M} is generally half-canonical for the boundary case $g = 2c + 5$. It is comparable to the line bundle

computing the Clifford dimension on an exceptional curve C of genus $g(C) = 2\text{Cliff}(C) + 4$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let C and \mathcal{M} be the same as Theorem 1.3. Assume there is a quadric hypersurface of rank ≤ 4 containing $\varphi_{\mathcal{M}}(C)$. Then \mathcal{M} is half-canonical unless C is a $\frac{c+2}{2}$ -fold covering of an elliptic curve.*

Proof. By the above theorem we may set $|\mathcal{M}| = |F + F_1|$ and $|K \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-1}| = |F + F_2|$ such that $|F|, |F_1|$ and $|F_2|$ are base point free pencils of degree $c + 2$. Assume that \mathcal{M} is not half-canonical, i.e., $|F_1| \neq |F_2|$. Consider $\phi_{F_1} \times \phi_{F_2} : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ and let C' be a smooth model of $\phi_{F_1} \times \phi_{F_2}(C)$. Then we have a morphism $\psi : C \rightarrow C'$ such that $\pi \circ \psi = \phi_{F_1} \times \phi_{F_2}$ where π is a normalization morphism from C' to $\phi_{F_1} \times \phi_{F_2}(C)$. Take a divisor G_i on C' such that $F_i = \psi^*(G_i)$ and let $m := \deg \psi$, then $|G_i|$'s are base point free pencils of degree $\frac{c+2}{m}$ on C' since $|F_i|$'s are base point free. Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & C' \\ & \swarrow \psi & \downarrow \pi = \phi_{G_1} \times \phi_{G_2} \\ C & \xrightarrow{\phi_{F_1} \times \phi_{F_2}} & \phi_{F_1} \times \phi_{F_2}(C) \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \end{array}$$

If we note that $\phi_{G_1} \times \phi_{G_2}$ is birational then there exists $G_i \in |G_i|$ such that $(G_1, G_2) = Q$ for any $Q \in C'$. Fix $G_1 := Q_1 + \cdots + Q_{\frac{c+2}{m}}$ and let $R_i := \psi^*(Q_i)$, then $\psi^*(G_1) = R_1 + \cdots + R_{\frac{c+2}{m}} \in |F_1|$. Hence $F + \psi^*(G_1) \in |\mathcal{M}|$ and there exists $G_{2,i} \in |G_2|$ such that $(G_1, G_{2,i}) = Q_i$ for each i . Let $M := F + \psi^*(G_1)$ and $E_i := F + \psi^*(G_{2,i})$. Then $(M, E_i) = F + \psi^*(Q_i) = F + R_i$. Note that $\text{Cliff}(F + R_i) = c$ for any i by the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(M, E_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(M) \oplus \mathcal{O}(E_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(K(-(M, E_i))) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $s := \frac{c+2}{m}$.

claim : $\text{Cliff}(F + R_1 + \cdots + R_k) = c$ for $k = 1, \dots, s$.

First we prove that $\text{Cliff}(F + R_1 + R_2) = c$. By the definition of Clifford index, we obtain $\text{Cliff}(F + R_1 + R_2) = \deg(F + R_1 + R_2) -$

$2r(F + R_1 + R_2) \geq c$. So, we get $\dim\langle F + R_1 + R_2 \rangle_K \geq c + m$ from the geometric Riemann-Roch Theorem.

On the other hand, $\dim\langle F + R_i \rangle_K = c + \frac{m}{2}$ and $\dim\langle F \rangle_K \cap \langle R_i \rangle_K = \frac{m}{2} - 1$ since $\text{Cliff}(F + R_i) = c$, $\dim\langle F \rangle_K = c$ and $\dim\langle R_i \rangle_K = m - 1$. It produces $\dim\langle F + R_1 + R_2 \rangle_K \leq c + m$. Therefore, $\text{Cliff}(F + R_1 + R_2) = c$. In the same manner, one can prove that $\dim\langle F + R_1 + \cdots + R_k \rangle_K = c + \frac{km}{2}$, which gives a proof of the claim.

If $s > 2$, then we lead to $\deg(F + R_1 + \cdots + R_{s-1}) > \frac{3c}{2} + 3$, which gives a contradiction to Theorem 1.3. This contradiction gives us that \mathcal{M} is half-canonical. If $s = 2$, then there are different pencils $|G_1|, |G_2|$ of degree 2 on C' . Thus C' is an elliptic curve and hence C is a $\frac{c+2}{2}$ -fold covering of the elliptic curve C' . We have excluded this case. In all, we obtain the result. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Since X is a K3-surface in \mathbb{P}^r , $\mathcal{O}_C(2)$ is the canonical bundle of C , $g(C) = 2c + 5$ and $\deg\mathcal{O}_C(1) = 2c + 4$ from adjunction formula. By Green and Lazarsfeld Theorem ([GL87], Theorem), $\mathcal{O}_C(1)$ computes the Clifford index of C . Therefore the results (1) and (2) follow from Theorem 1.3.

X is projectively normal since a hyperplane section is a canonical curve. From the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{I}_X(2)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(2)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2)) \rightarrow 0,$$

one can see that $h^0(\mathcal{I}_X(2)) = \binom{r+2}{2} - \deg C - 2$ by the Riemann-Roch Theorem. We have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = \binom{r+2}{2} - \deg C - 1$ by the short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{I}_X(2)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow 0.$$

The morphism $\mu_m : H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(m))$ is surjective for $m = 2$ by $h^0(\mathcal{O}_C(2)) = g = \deg C + 1$. For $m \geq 3$, the surjectivity of μ_m follows from the proof of Theorem 3.6 in [ELMS89]. Therefore $\mathcal{O}_C(1)$ is normally generated.

Corollary 3.3 in the next section implies that $\mathcal{O}_C(1)(F)$ fails to be normally generated. \square

3. EXTREMAL LINE BUNDLES \mathcal{L} WITH $h^1(\mathcal{L}) = 2$

We start this section to give a geometric interpretation of the surjectivity of Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 3.1. *Let \mathcal{M} be a very ample line bundle on a smooth curve C , \mathcal{F} a globally generated line bundle with $h^0(\mathcal{F}) = 2$ and $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{F}$. For any two distinct divisors $F, F' \in \mathcal{F}$, $\langle F \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} \cap \langle F' \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} = \emptyset$ if and only if the cup product morphism $\mu : H^0(\mathcal{M}) \otimes H^0(F) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{L})$ is surjective.*

Proof. By the base point free pencil trick, we have the following exact sequence;

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{M}(-F)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{M}) \otimes H^0(F) \xrightarrow{\mu} H^0(\mathcal{L}).$$

Let $r := h^0(\mathcal{M}) - 1$. We have $\dim \langle F \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} = h^0(\mathcal{L}) - r - 2$ and $\dim \langle F + F' \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} = h^0(\mathcal{L}) - h^0(\mathcal{M}(-F)) - 1$.

If μ is surjective, then $h^0(\mathcal{L}) = 2(r + 1) - h^0(\mathcal{M}(-F))$. Therefore $\dim \langle F + F' \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} = 2\dim \langle F \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} + 1$ which implies $\langle F \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} \cap \langle F' \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} = \emptyset$.

If $\langle F \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} \cap \langle F' \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} = \emptyset$, then $\dim \langle F + F' \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} = 2\dim \langle F \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} + 1$. This yields $h^0(\mathcal{L}) = 2(r + 1) - h^0(\mathcal{M}(-F))$, so μ is surjective. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. First, we claim that F fails to impose independent conditions on quadrics in $\langle F \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}$, i.e. $H^1(\mathcal{I}_{F/\langle F \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}}(2)) \neq 0$. Since $H^2(\mathcal{I}_{F/\mathbb{P}^m}(2)) = 0$, we have the following exact sequence:

$$H^1(\mathcal{I}_{F/\mathbb{P}^m}(2)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{I}_{F/C}(2)) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{I}_{C/\mathbb{P}^m}(2)) \rightarrow 0$$

where $\mathbb{P}^m := \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{M}))$. Note that we have $H^2(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) \simeq H^1(\mathcal{O}_C(2)) \simeq H^1(\mathcal{M}^2)$ and $H^1(\mathcal{I}_{F/C}(2)) \simeq H^1(\mathcal{M}^2(-F))$. By the assumption (2), we conclude that $H^1(\mathcal{I}_{F/\mathbb{P}^m}(2)) \neq 0$. Since $H^1(\mathcal{I}_{F/\mathbb{P}^m}(2)) = H^1(\mathcal{I}_{F/\langle F \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}}(2))$, F fails to impose independent conditions on quadrics in $\langle F \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}$.

By Lemma 3.1 hypothesis (3) makes it possible to take two divisors $F, F' \in |g_{c+2}^1|$ such that $\langle F \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} \cap \langle F' \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} = \emptyset$ for $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{M}(F)$. Consider the projection $\pi_{F'}$ of $\phi_{\mathcal{L}}(C)$ from F' . Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
C & \xrightarrow{\phi_{\mathcal{L}}} & \phi_{\mathcal{L}}(C) \subset \mathbb{P}^r(:= \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{L}))) \\
& \searrow \phi_{\mathcal{M}} & \downarrow \pi_{F'}: \text{projection} \\
& & \phi_{\mathcal{M}}(C) \subset \mathbb{P}^m(:= \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{M})))
\end{array}$$

Since $\langle F \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} \cap \langle F' \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} = \emptyset$, we see that $H^1(\mathcal{I}_{F/\langle F \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}}(2)) \neq 0$ if and only if $H^1(\mathcal{I}_{F/\langle F \rangle_{\mathcal{L}}}(2)) \neq 0$. Therefore F fails to impose independent conditions on quadrics in $\langle F \rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$, i.e. $H^1(\mathcal{I}_{F/\langle F \rangle_{\mathcal{L}}}(2)) \neq 0$. This is equivalent to $H^1(\mathcal{I}_{F/\mathbb{P}^r}(2)) \neq 0$. From the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{C/\mathbb{P}^r}(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{F/\mathbb{P}^r}(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{F/C}(2) \rightarrow 0,$$

one can see that $H^1(\mathcal{I}_{C/\mathbb{P}^r}(2)) \neq 0$ since $H^1(\mathcal{I}_{F/C}(2)) = H^1(\mathcal{L}^2(-F)) = H^1(\mathcal{M}^2(F)) = 0$ by the hypothesis (1). Thus $\mathcal{L}(:= \mathcal{M}(F))$ fails to be normally generated. \square

Remark 3.2. If $\deg \mathcal{L} \geq g + 1$, then the multiplication map

$$\mu_m : H^0(\mathcal{L}) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{L}^m) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{L}^{m+1})$$

are surjective for $m \geq 2$ ([Gr84], Theorem (4.e.1)). Therefore, \mathcal{L} is normally generated if \mathcal{L} satisfies 2-normality.

Corollary 3.3. Let C , \mathcal{M} and F be the same as in Theorem 1.3. Then $\mathcal{M}(F)$ is an extremal line bundle with $h^1(\mathcal{M}(F)) = 2$.

Proof. The hypothesis (1) of Theorem 1.2 holds trivially since $\deg \mathcal{M} = g - 1$. From Lemma 2.2, one can see that $K \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-2}(F) = K \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-1}(-F')$. Thus $h^1(\mathcal{M}^2(-F)) = h^1(\mathcal{M}(F')) = 2$. Also we have $h^1(\mathcal{M}^2) \leq 1$ since $\deg \mathcal{M} = g - 1$. Therefore the hypothesis (2) of the Theorem 1.2 is satisfied. Using the base point free pencil trick and the Riemann-Roch Theorem $\mu : H^0(\mathcal{M}) \otimes H^0(F) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{M}(F))$ is surjective, so the hypothesis (3) holds. Hence the result follows from Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3. \square

4. EXAMPLES AND QUESTIONS

In this section, we observe examples of extremal line bundles \mathcal{L} with $h^1(\mathcal{L}) \leq 2$.

Proposition 4.1 ([GL86], Remark 2.6). *Let C be a k -gonal curve such that $\text{Cliff}(C)$ is computed by a pencil g_k^1 . Let $\sum_{i=1}^4 P_i$ be a divisor on C such that $h^0(g_k^1 - P_i - P_j) = 0$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$. Then $\mathcal{L} := K(-g_k^1 + \sum_{i=1}^4 P_i)$ is a nonspecial extremal line bundle on C .*

The following examples also can be found in [Ko02].

Proposition 4.2. *Let C be a nonsingular plane curve degree $d \geq 5$ and H a line section of C . Take a degree 4 divisor $Z \leq H$ and put $D = H - Z$. Then $K(-D)$ is an extremal line bundle on C with $h^1(K(-D)) = 1$.*

Proof. Since C has no pencil of degree $\leq d-2$, $K(-D)$ is very ample. By the Riemann-Roch theorem Z spans a line in $\mathbb{P}^r := \mathbb{P}(H^0(K(-D)))$, and hence fails to impose independent conditions on quadrics, i.e. $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z/\mathbb{P}^r}(2)) \neq 0$. Now consider the following exact sequence;

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{C/\mathbb{P}^r}(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z/\mathbb{P}^r}(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z/C}(2) \rightarrow 0$$

Since $H^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z/C}(2)) = H^1((K(-D))^2(-Z)) = 0$, $H^1(\mathcal{I}_{C/\mathbb{P}^r}(2)) \neq 0$, i.e. $K(-D)$ fails to be normally generated. Since $\text{Cliff}(K(-D)) = d-4$, $K(-D)$ is an extremal line bundle. \square

Let C be an exceptional curve with $g = 2c + 4$ and \mathcal{M} compute the Clifford dimension of C . Then we have $\deg \mathcal{M} = 4r-3$, $\text{Cliff}(C) = 2r-3$ and $g = 4r-2$ where $h^0(\mathcal{M}) = r+1$. It is known that $\phi_{\mathcal{M}}(C)$ is projectively normal and has a $(2r-3)$ -secant $(r-2)$ -space divisor D ([CM91], Theorem A). Konno proved that the line bundle $K(-D)$ is extremal with $h^1(K(-D)) = 1$ in [Ko02]. We reprove it using Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 4.3. *Let (C, \mathcal{M}, D) be as above. Then $K(-D)$ is an extremal line bundle with $h^1(K(-D)) = 1$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{M}(-D)$, then $K(-D) \cong \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ since \mathcal{M} is a half-canonical. Conditions (1), (2) of Theorem 1.2 clearly hold. By the base point free pencil trick we have the following;

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{-1}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{M}) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\mu} H^0(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{F}).$$

Then μ is surjective since $h^0(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = h^0(K(-D)) = c + 4$, $h^0(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{-1}) = 1$ and $h^0(\mathcal{M}) = \frac{c+5}{2}$. Theorem 1.2 implies that $K(-D)$ is an extremal line bundle since $\text{Cliff}(K(-D)) = \text{Cliff}(C)$. \square

Even though the curves in next examples are lying on K3 surfaces, we do the works with explicit calculations.

Example 4.4. Let C be a smooth complete intersection of smooth surfaces of degree 2 and 4 in \mathbb{P}^3 . Then $\deg C = 8$, $g(C) = 9$, and $\text{gon}(C) = 4$. Therefore H. Martens' Theorem implies $\text{Cliff}(C) = 2$. For $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{O}_C(1)$, we have $|\mathcal{M}| = |F + F'|$ where F is a pencil of degree 4 by Theorem 1.3. Thus $\mathcal{M}(F)$ fails to be normally generated by Theorem 1.2. So $\mathcal{M}(F)$ is extremal with $h^1(\mathcal{M}(F)) = 2$.

Example 4.5. Let C be a smooth complete intersection of smooth hypersurfaces of degree 2, 2, 3 in \mathbb{P}^4 . Then there is a quadric hypersurface Q of rank ≤ 4 and $\mathcal{M}(F)$ is an extremal line bundle with $h^1(\mathcal{M}(F)) = 2$. Here $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_C(1)$ and F is given by a ruling of Q of degree 6.

Proof. Note that $g(C) = 13$. By Lazarsfeld's theorem ([La97], Example 4.12), C can not have a pencil g_5^1 . It implies that $\text{Cliff}(C) \geq 3$ by Coppens and Martens' Theorem ([CM91]). Assume that $\text{Cliff}(C) = 3$. If the Clifford dimension $r(C)$ of C is 2, then C is isomorphic to a smooth plane septic, which cannot occur since $g(C) = 13$. Therefore $r(C) \geq 3$ and there is a $g_d^{r(C)}$ with $d = 3 + 2r(C) \leq 12 = g(C) - 1$, and so $r(C) \leq 4$. Hence C is an ELMS curve ([ELMS89] Section 5), which is a contradiction because of $g(C) \neq 2c + 4$. Thus $\text{Cliff}(C) = 4$ since $|\mathcal{M}| = g_{12}^4$ where $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{O}_C(1)$. Note that C is always contained in a quadric of rank 4 or less because C is contained in two quadrics in \mathbb{P}^4 . Whence Proposition 2.4 yields $|\mathcal{M}| = |F + F'|$ such that $|F|, |F'|$ are base point free pencils of degree $c + 2$. By Theorem 1.2, $\mathcal{M}(F)$ fails to be normally generated. So $\mathcal{M}(F)$ is extremal with $h^1(\mathcal{M}(F)) = 2$. \square

Example 4.6. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be a smooth complete intersection of four quadric hypersurfaces. Then there is a quadric hypersurface Q of rank ≤ 4 and $\mathcal{M}(F)$ is an extremal line bundle with $h^1(\mathcal{M}(F)) = 2$. Here $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_C(1)$ and F is given by a ruling of Q of degree 8.

Proof. As in example 4.5, one can show that $g(C) = 17$ and $\text{Cliff}(C) = 6$. Note that the quadrics of rank ≤ 4 in \mathbb{P}^5 form a closed subvariety of codimension 3 in the projective space of all quadrics in \mathbb{P}^5 . Also, C is always contained in a quadric of rank ≤ 4 because C is contained in four quadrics. Therefore, by Proposition 2.4, $|\mathcal{M}| = |F + F'|$ for any hyperplane section \mathcal{M} . By Theorem 1.2, $\mathcal{M}(F)$ fails to be normally generated. So $\mathcal{M}(F)$ is extremal with $h^1(\mathcal{M}(F)) = 2$. \square

Finally we ask a couple of questions relating to the above results.

Question 4.7. *Is there an extremal line bundle \mathcal{L} on a smooth curve with $h^1(\mathcal{L}) \geq 3$?*

Question 4.8. *Can we find a smooth curve which does not lie on a K3 surface, but has an extremal line bundle \mathcal{L} with $h^1(\mathcal{L}) \geq 2$?*

REFERENCES

- [ACGH85] Arbarello, E., Cornalba, M., Griffiths P. A. and Harris J., *Geometry of Algebraic Curves I*, (1985), Springer Verlag.
- [Ba86] Ballico, E., *On the Clifford index of algebraic curves*, Amer. Math. Soc. 97 (1986), no. 2, 217–218.
- [CM91] Coppens, M., Martens, G., *Secant spaces and Clifford’s theorem*, Compositio Math. 78 (1991), 193–212.
- [ELMS89] D. Eisenbud, H. Large, G. Martens, F.-O. Schreyer, *The Clifford dimension of a projective curve*, Compositio Math. 72 (1989), 173–204.
- [Gr84] Green, M., *Koszul cohomology and the geometry of projective varieties*, J. Differential Geom. 19 (1984), 125–171.
- [GL87] Green, M. and Lazarsfeld, R., *Special divisors on curves on a K3 surface*, Invent. Math. 89 (1987), 357–370.
- [GL86] Green, M. and Lazarsfeld, R., *On the projective normality of complete linear series on an algebraic curve*, Invent. Math. 83 (1986), 73–90.
- [Ha77] Hartshorne, R., *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Text in Math, 52, (1977), Springer Verlag.
- [KeK89] Keem, C. and Kim. S., *On the Clifford index of general $(e+2)$ -gonal curve*, Manuscripta Math. 63 (1989), 83–88.
- [KKM90] Keem, C., Kim, S., Martens, G., *On a result of Farkas*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 405 (1990), 112–116.
- [Ko02] Konno, K., *Clifford index and projected canonical curves*, *Algebraic geometry and related topics*, Proceedings of the Korea-Japan Joint Workshop in Mathematics (2002), 49–54

- [La97] Lazarsfeld, R., *Lectures on linear series. With the assistance of Guillermo Fernandez del Busto*. IAS/Park City Math. Ser., 3, *Complex algebraic geometry* (Park City, UT, 1993), (1997) 161–219, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.
- [Me60] Meis, T., *Die minimale Blätterzahl der Konkretisierungen einer kompakten Riemannschen Fläche*, Schriftenreihe des Math. Inst. d. Univ. Münster **16** (1960).

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 151-742, KOREA

E-mail address: ychoi@math.kaist.ac.kr

²DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS, CHUNGWOON UNIVERSITY, CHUNGNAM, 350-701, KOREA

E-mail address: sjkim@chungwoon.ac.kr

³DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KONKUK UNIVERSITY, SEOUL, 143-701, KOREA

E-mail address: rocky777@math.snu.ac.kr